

# Education Quarterly Reviews

ÖNTÜRK, Yavuz, GÜVENDİ, Burcu, KESKİN, Burçak, and GELEN, Nuran KANDAZ. (2021), An Investigation of the Digital Gaming Attitudes of the Faculty of Sports Sciences Students. In: *Education Quarterly Reviews*, Vol.4, No.2, 611-619.

ISSN 2621-5799

DOI: 10.31014/aior.1993.04.02.306

The online version of this article can be found at: https://www.asianinstituteofresearch.org/

Published by: The Asian Institute of Research

The *Education Quarterly Reviews* is an Open Access publication. It may be read, copied, and distributed free of charge according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

The Asian Institute of Research *Education Quarterly Reviews* is a peer-reviewed International Journal. The journal covers scholarly articles in the fields of education, linguistics, literature, educational theory, research, and methodologies, curriculum, elementary and secondary education, higher education, foreign language education, teaching and learning, teacher education, education of special groups, and other fields of study related to education. As the journal is Open Access, it ensures high visibility and the increase of citations for all research articles published. The *Education Quarterly Reviews* aims to facilitate scholarly work on recent theoretical and practical aspects of education.





The Asian Institute of Research Education Quarterly Reviews Vol.4, No.2, 2021: 611-619 ISSN 2621-5799 Copyright © The Author(s). All Rights Reserved DOI: 10.31014/aior.1993.04.02.306

# An Investigation of the Digital Gaming Attitudes of the Faculty of Sports Sciences Students

Yavuz ÖNTÜRK<sup>1</sup>, Burcu GÜVENDİ<sup>2</sup>, Burçak KESKİN<sup>3</sup>, Nuran KANDAZ GELEN<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Yalova University, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Department of Sports Management, Yalova/TURKEY

<sup>2</sup> Yalova University, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Department of Sports Health Sciences, Yalova/TURKEY

<sup>3</sup> Yalova University, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Department of Movement and Training Sciences Yalova/TURKEY

<sup>4</sup> Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Department of Sports Management, Sakarya/TURKEY.

Correspondence: Yavuz Öntürk, Yalova University, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Department of Sports Management, Yalova/TURKEY. Tel: 0505 316 53 68. E-mail: yavuzonturk@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

Nowadays, digital games have become a part of the daily lives of individuals, and accordingly, the virtual world phenomenon, especially in the young population, has emerged. This phenomenon causes digital game users to experience other worlds as well as their real lives. The experiences of individuals through games in the virtual environment, on the one hand, provide link and communication, on the other hand, they distance them from concrete concepts of the real world. This study aimed to examine the digital game-playing attitudes of students studying at the faculties of sports sciences. The research group consisted of 911 students (415 females, 45.6%; 496 males, 54.4%) studying at the faculties of sports sciences in Konya Selcuk University, Ankara Gazi University, and Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa. This study conducted in the period of November-December, 2019, and all students voluntarily participated in the study. The "Digital Gaming Attitude Scale (DGAS)" developed by Tekkursun Demir and Mutlu Bozkurt (2019) was used to collect data in the study. This scale consists of 18 items and three sub-dimensions (cognitive, affective, and behavioral). Descriptive statistics (frequency, arithmetic mean, standard deviation), independent sample t-test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Tukey multiple comparison tests were used in the analysis of the data. The arithmetic mean of the sub-dimensions was  $15.41 \pm 3.38$  for cognitive,  $15.72 \pm 3.74$  for emotional, and  $23.58 \pm 7.34$  for behavioral. Statistical analysis of the data was made through the SPSS 19.0 program and the significant difference level of the values was accepted as p < 0.05. The analysis results showed that when the digital gaming attitude scale was evaluated according to gender, the mean score scores of male students in all cognitive, affective, and behavioral sub-dimensions were significantly higher than female students.

Keywords: Students, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Digital Gaming Attitude Scale, Digital Game Playing

#### 1. Introduction

Digital games, which are widely used today, date back to the middle of the 20th century. A very simple tennis game called "Tennis for Two," developed for the Donner Model 30 analog computer on October 18, 1958, can be given to digital games as an example, also known as video games. The digital game is defined as entertainment or leisure activity software that is based on text or visuality, computer-based, electronic platforms such as game consoles or computers that one or more people can use together over the physical or online network (Frasca, 2001). When the digital game or games industry is examined, it is seen that the development process is handled in five different phases (Özhan, 2011). These phases are as follows: Before 1980 "early development phase" (Yalçın & Erdoğan, 2016); Between the mid-80s and mid-90s "growth phase" (Video Game Industry Statistics, 2019); End of the 90s the "phase of development" (Pan European Game Information, 2015); between 2000-2005 the "maturation phase" (Craddock, 2005); and from 2006 to the present the "phase of progress" (O'Hagan & Mangiron, 2013).

Digital games are very comprehensive and contain techniques developed from various disciplines. The fundamental development of the digital game industry consists of three stages. In the first stage, the transition to microprocessors was provided as the basic development dynamics, thus better animations emerged. Advances in sound technology made it possible to integrate music into games in the 1980s. The second major development has been with the introduction of game consoles into homes as well as game halls. The third fundamental development started with the production of computers used at home. Change and development continued by adding game mechanisms to these computers. The rapid development of technology in the world has contributed positively to the growth of the digital game industry. On the one hand, the cheaper technology and on the other hand, the introduction of the internet into people's business and home life has enabled the digital game industry to grow rapidly. Over the past 60 years, the digital game industry has made great strides in other areas, especially in the field of graphics (Squire, 2003). In the digital game development period that has continued until today, even seventh-generation consoles were produced. It is known by everyone that the population that closely follows technological developments and adopts digital technology as life is a younger population. This lifestyle is thought to cause the endangerment of the cultural heritage, which is a social heritage and transferred from generation to generation. The most important losses of societies in this cultural heritage such as their traditions, customs, and lifestyles such as grammar, vocabulary, nutritional characteristics, physical activity, sports activities occur in today's digital world (Lazzaro, 2004).

The fact that the new generation follows technology closely and has grown up using this technology has caused them to prefer technological devices to traditional games played physically. This situation has revealed the curiosity of today's generation, which are described as "digital natives," for digital games. Especially the high interest of the young generation in digital games and their perception of this as socialization in the digital world show that digital games are an important field of occupation for this group (Quaiser-Pohl et al., 2006). The concept of attitude is accepted as one of the psychological separators that determine the social perception and attitudes of the people and also determine the formation of behavior (Alıs, 2013).

The desire of individuals to get away from the negative environment they live in, the desire to bring their reallife to the virtual environment, the disconnection and incompatibility they have experienced with their social environment are among the determining factors of the digital gameplay attitude. The effort to engage in recreational activities and to obtain some rewards such as power and trophies in digital games is also added to these factors (Tekkurşun & Bozkurt 2019). The concept of attitude is a bidirectional variable that shapes individuals' positive and negative emotional states (Erkuş, 2013). Attitudes consist of a total of three dimensions: mental, emotional, and behavioral, which are handled as cognitive, affective, and behavioral, and there is a dynamic link between the dimensions (Hazar & Demir, 2018). Accordingly, determining the factors that direct children and young people to digital games and enable them to participate in these game consoles or digital games at least once a day is among the objectives of this study. There are many researches in the literature on digital game playing and especially on students (Mustafaoğlu & Yasacı, 2018; Demir & Cicioğlu, 2019; Erten, 2019; Güvendi, Demir & Keskin, 2019; Bozkurt, Dursun & Arı, 2019; Topal & Aydın, 2018; Bozkurt & Tamer, 2020). Researches in the literature show that the digital game playing attitude is particularly common among students, and this issue is seen to be current and important. Therefore, in order to contribute to the literature, this study aimed to determine the digital game playing attitudes of students studying at the faculty of sports sciences. The research questions created in line with the purpose of our study are listed below:

**RQ1:** Is there a significant difference in the gender variable in the Digital Gaming Attitudes of the students?

**RQ2:** Is there a significant difference in the age variable in the Digital Gaming Attitudes of the students?

**RQ3:** Is there a significant difference in the class variable in the Digital Gaming Attitudes of the students?

**RQ4:** Is there a significant difference in the mother's educational status variable in the Digital Gaming Attitudes of the students?

**RQ5:** Is there a significant difference in the father's educational status variable in the Digital Gaming Attitudes of the students?

**RQ6:** Is there a significant difference in the digital game playtime variable in the Digital Gaming Attitudes of the students?

**RQ7:** Is there a significant difference in time to participate in physical activity variable in the Digital Gaming Attitudes of the students?

# 2. Method

# Research Group

This study was designed as cross-sectional research. A total of 911 students from 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th grades at Konya Selçuk University, Gazi University, and Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Sport Sciences participated in the study between November and December 2019. No sampling method was used in the study, and the students who did not want to participate in the study and were not in the school were excluded from the study. The average age of 415 female and 496 male students participating in this study was  $20.43 \pm 2.58$ .

# Scale

*Digital Gaming Attitudes Scale:* This scale was developed by Tekkurşun Demir & Mutlu Bozkurt (2019) in order to measure the digital gaming attitudes of university students. This scale, which consists of 18 items, consists of three sub-dimensions: cognitive (1, 2, 3, 4, 5 items), affective (6, 7, 8, 9, 10 items), and behavioral (11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 items). Items 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 18 of the scale are reversed. The digital gaming attitude scale was evaluated with a 5-point Likert-type scale (1 = "Strongly disagree", 2 = "Disagree", 3 = "Neutral", 4 = "Agree", 5 = "Strongly Agree") (Tekkurşun Demir & Mutlu Bozkurt, 2019). It is cognitive if the knowledge that an individual has about a situation enables him/her to look positively at that situation, affective if the individual has positive emotions, and behavioral if he/she shows this through various expressions and actions (Hazar & Demir, 2018).

# Statistical Analysis of the Data

First, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied to determine whether the data showed normal distribution or not. The p values obtained from the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed that the distribution was normal (p > 0.05). Second, descriptive statistics (frequency, arithmetic mean, standard deviation), independent sample t-test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Tukey multiple comparison tests were used to evaluate the data.

# 3. Findings

This section includes the findings of the digital game-playing attitudes of students studying at the faculty of sports sciences.

| Digital Gaming Attitude Scale | Ν   | Min. | Max.  | Mean ± SD        |
|-------------------------------|-----|------|-------|------------------|
| Cognitive                     | 911 | 5.00 | 25.00 | $15.41 \pm 3.38$ |
| Affective                     | 911 | 5.00 | 25.00 | $15.72 \pm 3.74$ |
| Behavioral                    | 911 | 8.00 | 40.00 | $23.58 \pm 7.34$ |

Table 1: Average Scores of the Sub-Dimensions of the Digital Gaming Attitude Scale

Table 1 shows that the behavioral sub-dimension of the digital gaming attitude scale has the highest score average compared to the others.

| Table 2: Independent Sample | Γ-Test Analysis Results (             | of Digital Gaming Attitude | Scale by Gender Variable |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 8 8                        |                          |

| Digital Gaming Attitude Scale | Gender | Ν   | Mean ± SD        | t      | р    |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----|------------------|--------|------|
| Cognitive                     | Female | 415 | $14.65 \pm 3.36$ | -6.363 | .000 |
| Cognitive                     | Male   | 496 | $16.05 \pm 3.26$ | -0.505 | .000 |
| Affective                     | Female | 415 | $14.86 \pm 3.53$ | -6.553 | .000 |
|                               | Male   | 496 | $16.44 \pm 3.76$ | -0.555 | .000 |
| Behavioral                    | Female | 415 | $21.61 \pm 7.45$ | -7.582 | .000 |
| Benavioral                    | Male   | 496 | $25.23\pm 6.83$  | -7.382 | .000 |

Table 2 shows that there is a significant difference at p < 0.05 level in all sub-dimensions of the digital gaming attitude scale by gender. According to these values, the average scores of cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions of men are higher than female students.

| Digital Gaming Attitude Scale | Age          | Ν   | Mean ± SD        | t      | р    |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----|------------------|--------|------|
| Cognitive                     | 17-19        | 432 | $15.23 \pm 3.42$ | 1.505  | .133 |
|                               | More than 20 | 479 | 15.57 ± 3.34     | -1.505 | .155 |
| Affective                     | 17-19        | 432 | $15.86 \pm 3.71$ | 1.047  | .295 |
|                               | More than 20 | 479 | $15.60 \pm 3.76$ | 1.047  | .295 |
| Behavioral                    | 17-19        | 432 | $23.52 \pm 7.45$ | 237    | .813 |
|                               | More than 20 | 479 | $23.63 \pm 7.25$ | 237    | .015 |

Table 3: Independent Sample T-Test Results of Digital Gaming Attitude Scale by Age Variable

Table 3 shows that there is no significant difference in all sub-dimensions of the digital gaming attitude scale in terms of age.

| Digital Gaming Attitude Scale | Class | N   | Mean ± SD        | F     | р    | Tukey                      |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----|------------------|-------|------|----------------------------|
| Cognitive                     | 1     | 290 | $15.20 \pm 3.33$ |       |      | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Class      |
|                               | 2     | 263 | $15.05 \pm 3.36$ | 3.437 | .016 |                            |
|                               | 3     | 160 | $15.93\pm3.65$   | 5.457 | .010 | ><br>2 <sup>nd</sup> Class |
|                               | 4     | 198 | $15.78\pm3.19$   |       |      | 2 Class                    |
|                               | 1     | 290 | $15.64 \pm 3.70$ | 243   | .866 | -                          |
| Affective                     | 2     | 263 | $15.68\pm3.82$   |       |      |                            |
| Allective                     | 3     | 160 | $15.69\pm3.89$   | 243   |      |                            |
|                               | 4     | 198 | $15.92 \pm 3.57$ |       |      |                            |
|                               | 1     | 290 | $23.35\pm7.14$   |       |      |                            |
| Behavioral                    | 2     | 263 | $23.66\pm7.34$   | 1.598 | .188 |                            |
|                               | 3     | 160 | $22.80\pm7.90$   | 1.390 | .100 | -                          |
|                               | 4     | 198 | $24.43 \pm 7.13$ |       |      |                            |

Table 4: ANOVA Results of Digital Gaming Attitude Scale by Students' Class

Table 4 shows that there is a significant difference in the cognitive sub-dimension of the digital gaming attitude scale (p <0.05), but there is no significant difference in the affective and behavioral sub-dimensions. The result of the Tukey test, which is an advanced test, showed that the difference observed in the cognitive sub-dimension was between 3rd and 2nd grades. Accordingly, the mean score of the cognitive sub-dimension of 3rd-grade students ( $15.93 \pm 3.65$ ) was higher than the mean score of the 2nd-grade students ( $15.05 \pm 3.36$ ).

| Digital Gaming Attitude Scale | Mother's<br>Educational Status | N   | Mean ± SD        | F     | р    |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|------------------|-------|------|
|                               | Uneducated                     | 69  | $16.33 \pm 3.49$ |       |      |
| Cognitive                     | Primary                        | 239 | $15.32 \pm 3.28$ |       |      |
|                               | Secondary                      | 212 | $15.45 \pm 3.40$ | 1.901 | .108 |
|                               | Lycee                          | 199 | $15.50 \pm 3.37$ |       |      |
|                               | University                     | 192 | $15.05 \pm 3.42$ |       |      |
|                               | Uneducated                     | 69  | $15.31\pm3.68$   |       |      |
|                               | Primary                        | 239 | $15.56 \pm 3.73$ |       |      |
| Affective                     | Secondary                      | 212 | $16.04 \pm 3.83$ | .766  | .547 |
|                               | Lycee                          | 199 | $15.63 \pm 3.55$ |       |      |
|                               | University                     | 192 | $15.81\pm3.87$   |       |      |
|                               | Uneducated                     | 69  | $22.85 \pm 7.01$ |       |      |
| Behavioral                    | Primary                        | 239 | $23.78 \pm 7.18$ |       |      |
|                               | Secondary                      | 212 | $23.60\pm7.38$   | .431  | .786 |
|                               | Lycee                          | 199 | $23.91 \pm 7.56$ |       |      |
|                               | University                     | 192 | $23.22 \pm 7.43$ |       |      |

| Table 5: ANOVA Results of Di | igital Gaming Attitude Scale b | v Mother's Educational Status |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                              |                                |                               |

Table 5 shows that there is no significant difference in all sub-dimensions of the digital gaming attitude scale according to the mother's educational status variable.

| Digital Gaming Attitude Scale | Father's<br>Educational Status | Ν   | Mean ± SD        | F     | р    |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|------------------|-------|------|
|                               | Uneducated                     | 38  | $14.63 \pm 3.18$ |       |      |
| Cognitive                     | Primary                        | 241 | $15.65 \pm 3.18$ |       |      |
|                               | Secondary                      | 185 | $15.31 \pm 3.48$ | 1.923 | .105 |
|                               | Lycee                          | 240 | $15.69 \pm 3.48$ |       |      |
|                               | University                     | 207 | $15.03 \pm 3.38$ |       |      |
|                               | Uneducated                     | 38  | $14.71 \pm 3.36$ |       |      |
|                               | Primary                        | 241 | $15.44 \pm 3.70$ |       |      |
| Affective                     | Secondary                      | 185 | $15.99 \pm 3.90$ | 1.401 | .232 |
|                               | Lycee                          | 240 | $15.83 \pm 3.81$ |       |      |
|                               | University                     | 207 | $15.86\pm3.59$   |       |      |
|                               | Uneducated                     | 38  | $22.81 \pm 7.37$ |       |      |
| Behavioral                    | Primary                        | 241 | $23.30\pm7.42$   |       |      |
| Benavioral                    | Secondary                      | 185 | $23.61 \pm 7.28$ | .390  | .816 |
|                               | Lycee                          | 240 | $24.00\pm7.35$   |       |      |
|                               | University                     | 207 | $23.53 \pm 7.34$ |       |      |

Table 6: ANOVA Results of Digital Gaming Attitude Scale by Father's Educational Status

Table 6 shows that there is no significant difference in all sub-dimensions of the digital gaming attitude scale according to the father's educational status variable.

| Digital Gaming Attitude Scale | Digital Game Playtime | N   | Mean ± SD        | F    | р    |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|------------------|------|------|
|                               | 30-60 minutes         | 498 | $15.46\pm3.23$   |      |      |
| Cognitive                     | 61-90 minutes         | 206 | $15.16 \pm 3.48$ | 016  | 420  |
|                               | 91-120 minutes        | 86  | $15.84 \pm 3.77$ | .916 | .432 |
|                               | More than 121 minutes | 121 | $15.32\pm3.52$   |      |      |
| Affective                     | 30-60 minutes         | 498 | $15.76\pm3.57$   |      | .971 |
|                               | 61-90 minutes         | 206 | $15.63 \pm 3.71$ | .079 |      |
| Anective                      | 91-120 minutes        | 86  | $15.81 \pm 4.04$ | .079 | .971 |
|                               | More than 121 minutes | 121 | $15.68 \pm 4.25$ |      |      |
|                               | 30-60 minutes         | 498 | $23.41 \pm 7.41$ |      |      |
| Behavioral                    | 61-90 minutes         | 206 | $23.87 \pm 7.14$ | .252 | .860 |
|                               | 91-120 minutes        | 86  | $23.90\pm7.58$   | .232 | .000 |
|                               | More than 121 minutes | 121 | $23.53 \pm 7.27$ | 1    |      |

| Table 7: ANOVA Results of | (D' + 10)         | A                 |                         |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| I able / ANDVA Results (  | nt Digital Gaming | Affifude Scale by | 7 Digital Game Playtime |
|                           | n Digital Gammig  | I multude beale b |                         |

Table 7 shows that there is no significant difference in all sub-dimensions of the digital gaming attitude scale according to the digital game playtime variable.

| Digital Gaming Attitude Scale | Time to Participate in<br>Physical Activity | Ν   | Mean ± SD        | F     | р    |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|------------------|-------|------|
|                               | 30-60 minutes                               | 295 | $15.29 \pm 3.41$ |       |      |
| Cognitive                     | 61-90 minutes                               | 227 | $15.48 \pm 3.44$ | .187  | .905 |
|                               | 91-120 minutes                              | 183 | $15.46 \pm 3.51$ | .107  | .905 |
|                               | More than 121 minutes                       | 206 | $15.46 \pm 3.17$ |       |      |
|                               | 30-60 minutes                               | 295 | $15.56 \pm 3.65$ |       |      |
|                               | 61-90 minutes                               | 227 | $16.19 \pm 3.89$ | 1.588 | .191 |
| Affective                     | 91-120 minutes                              | 183 | $15.53 \pm 3.82$ | 1.300 | .191 |
|                               | More than 121 minutes                       | 206 | $15.61 \pm 3.61$ |       |      |
|                               | 30-60 minutes                               | 295 | $23.02 \pm 7.40$ |       |      |
| Behavioral                    | 61-90 minutes                               | 227 | $23.90 \pm 7.18$ | 1.183 | .315 |
|                               | 91-120 minutes                              | 183 | $24.21 \pm 7.12$ | 1.105 | .515 |
|                               | More than 121 minutes                       | 206 | $23.48 \pm 7.62$ |       |      |

Table 8: ANOVA Results of Digital Gaming Attitude Scale by Time to Participate in Physical Activity

Table 8 shows that there is no significant difference in all sub-dimensions of the digital gaming attitude scale according to the time to participate in physical activity variable.

#### 4. Discussion

Due to the rapid development of computer and internet technology in the last two decades, the widespread use of digital games, which are an important part of the culture industry, has also brought some risks. The high increase in the number of users, especially children and young people, attracted all the attention to the effects of digital games. Increasing scientific studies on this subject have focused on the short and long-term effects of digital games on individuals. In this context, in order to contribute to the literature, we examined the digital gaming attitudes of the faculty of sports sciences students in terms of different variables in our study. In this study, in the mean score analysis of the sub-dimensions of the digital gaming attitude scale, it was observed that the behavioral sub-dimension had the highest score (Table 1;  $23.58 \pm 7.34$ ). This score shows that students make digital gameplay a behavior. In addition, when considered in terms of other sub-dimensions, it is seen that students have ambivalent feelings in terms of their digital game playing attitudes. As a result, it can be expressed that playing digital games is a behavioral situation for students. Lo, Wang, and Fang (2005) and Weinstein et al.

(2015) reported that children and adolescents try to reduce their social anxiety levels by playing computer-based games. The inconsistency between this result and the result of our study is thought to be due to the age groups of both studies. Therefore, it is considered normal that university students who are older differ in their digital gameplay attitudes. Based on this result, there are also various researches on digital game addiction and social anxiety (Karaca et al., 2016; Savcı & Aysan, 2017; Taş & Güneş, 2019).

Digital game playing attitude analysis by gender (Table 2), which is among the research questions of our study, showed that the mean score scores of male students were significantly higher than female students in all cognitive, affective, and behavioral sub-dimensions (p < 0.001). Accordingly, male students had higher attitudes to play digital games than female. Başdaş and Özbeyin (2020), Balıkçı (2018), Gökçe Arslan and Durakoğlu (2014), Taş and Güneş (2019), Bozkurt and Tamer (2020) found that male participants had the higher digital game playing attitudes and addictions compared to female. Although these results showed a high attitude of men to play digital games, it is known that female's interest in playing games in the digital environment has increased recently. When examined in terms of motivation to play digital games, Hazar (2019) found that the average scores of male and female participants were similar to each other. Yıldız and Tüzün (2011), on the other hand, found in their study that there was no gender difference in terms of gaming and computer use experiences. In the organization, which continued its activities as the federation of digital games of the period, 52% of the total number of digital players was reported as male and 48% as female according to 2012 data (Dinç, 2012). In addition, it is though that the motivations of men to play digital games should be examined comprehensively.

In terms of the age variable handled in our study, no significant difference was found in the sub-dimension findings of students' digital gaming attitudes (Table 3). Although no significant difference was found in this variable, it was observed that the behavioral sub-dimension mean score of all age groups of the students was higher. Demir and Cicioğlu (2019) found similar results to our study, on the other hand, Bozkurt and Tamer (2020) reached a different result and found positive significant differences in the motivation to play digital games as the age got older. It is thought that the difference between the results of our study and other studies was due to the fact that the samples, including our study, were middle school, high school, and university students and that the advancing technology reached all age groups over time. In our study, a significant difference was observed in the cognitive sub-dimension of the digital game playing attitude scale according to the students' grade levels (Table 4; p < 0.05), while no significant difference was found in the affective and behavioral subdimensions. It was observed that the difference observed in the cognitive sub-dimension was between the 3rd and 2nd grades, and accordingly, the cognitive sub-dimension mean score of the 3rd-grade students was higher than the average score of the 2nd-grade students. For this situation, it is thought that the higher the grade level, the higher the cognitive sub-dimension, which can be defined as the mental element. In addition, there are researches in the literature (Bozkurt & Tamer, 2020; Demirel et al., 2019) that show a significant difference in digital game playing attitude in terms of class variables. In the study, no significant difference was found as a result of the analysis between other variables and the digital game playing attitude. In addition, although there was no statistical difference among cognitive, affective and behavioral sub-dimensions, some variables had score differences.

As a result, this study revealed that university students adopt digital game playing attitudes as a behavior. In addition, the study showed that males played more digital games than females, and 3rd-grade university students had higher digital gaming attitudes in grade variable.

# Acknowledgments

Many thanks to the research authors for their valuable contribution and interest. We thank all scientists with the hope of contributing to the world of science.

#### References

- Alıcı, D. (2013). Okula yönelik tutum ölçeğinin geliştirilmesi: Güvenirlik ve Geçerlik Çalışması. Eğitim ve Bilim, 38(168), 318-331.
- Balıkçı, R. (2018). Çocuklarda ve ergenlerde çevrimiçi oyun bağımlılığı ve agresif davranışlar arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. Fatih Sultan Mehmet Vakıf Üniversitesi, Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, İstanbul.
- Başdaş, Ö., & Özbey, H. (2020). Digital game addiction, obesity, and social anxiety among adolescents. Archives of Psychiatric Nursing, 34(2), 17-20.
- Bozkurt, T. M., Dursun, M., & Arı, Ç. (2019). Examination of attitudes of students of sports sciences towards digital game play. Journal of Human Sciences, 16(4), 1217-1227.
- Bozkurt, T. M., & Tamer, K. (2020). Dijital oyun motivasyonu ile beden kitle indeksi ilişkisi. Gaziantep Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 5(2), 105-120.
- Craddock, D. (2005). The Rogues Gallery: Controversial Video Games. Erişim tarihi 8 Şubat 2019, https://www.shacknews.com/article/38876/the-rogues-gallery-controversial-video
- Demir, G. T., & Hazar, Z. (2018). Dijital oyun oynama ölçeği (EBÖ): Geçerlik ve güvenirlik çalışması. Niğde Üniversitesi Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 12(2).
- Demir, G. T., & Bozkurt, T. M. (2019). Dijital oyun oynama tutumu ölçeği (DOOTÖ): Geçerlik ve güvenirlik çalışması. Sportif Bakış: Spor ve Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi, 6(1), 1-18.
- Demir, G. T., & Cicioğlu, H. İ. (2019). Fiziksel aktiviteye katilim motivasyonu ile dijital oyun oynama motivasyonu arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. Spormetre Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 17(3), 23-34.
- Demirel, H.G., Cicioğlu, H.İ. & Demir, G.T. (2019). Lise öğrencilerinin dijital oyun oynama motivasyonu düzeylerinin incelenmesi. Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 21(3), 128-137
- Dinç, M. (2012). Türkiye Dijital Oyunlar Federasyonu.
- Erten, P. (2019). Z Kuşağının dijital teknolojiye yönelik tutumları. Gümüşhane Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Elektronik Dergisi, 10(1) 190-202.
- Frasca, G. (2001). Rethinking agency and immersion: video games as a means of consciousness-raising. Digital Creativity, 12(3), 167-174.
- Gökçearslan, Ş., & Durakoğlu, A. (2014). Ortaokul öğrencilerinin bilgisayar oyunu bağımlılık düzeylerinin çeşitli değişkenlere göre incelenmesi. Dicle Üniversitesi Ziya Gökalp Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, (23), 419-435.
- Güvendi, B., Demir, G. T., & Keskin, B. (2019). Ortaokul öğrencilerinde dijital oyun bağımlılığı ve saldırganlık. OPUS Uluslararası Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi, 11(18), 1194-1217.
- Hazar, Z., & Demir, G. T. (2018). Sınıf öğretmeni adaylarının oyun ve fiziksel etkinlikler dersine yönelik tutum ölçeği: Geçerlik ve Güvenirlik Çalışması. Journal of Human Sciences, 15(2), 1206-1215. doi:10.14687/jhs.v15i2.5284.
- Hazar, Z. (2019). An analysis of the relationship between digital game playing motivation and digital game addiction among children. Asian Journal of Education and Training, 5(1), 31-38.
- Karaca, S., Gök, C., Kalay, E., Başbuğ, M., Hekim, M., Onan, N., & Ünsal Barlas, G. (2016). Ortaokul öğrencilerinde bilgisayar oyun bağımlılığı ve sosyal anksiyetenin incelenmesi. Clin Exp Health Sci; 6(1): 14-9
- Lazzaro, N. (2004). Why we play games: 4 keys to more emotion. Paper presented at the Game Developer's Conference, San Jose, CA, March 22–26.
- Lo, S. K., Wang, C. C., & Fang, W. (2005). Physical interpersonal relationships and social anxiety among online game players. Cyberpsychology & behavior, 8(1), 15-20.
- Mustafaoğlu, R., & Yasacı, Z. (2018). Dijital oyun oynamanın çocukların ruhsal ve fiziksel sağlığı üzerine olumsuz etkileri. Bağımlılık Dergisi, 19(3), 51-58.
- O'Hagan, M., & Mangiron, C. (2013). Game Localization: Translating for the global digital entertainment industry (Vol. 106). John Benjamins Publishing.
- Özhan, S. (2011). Dijital oyunlarda değerlendirme ve sınıflandırma sistemleri ve Türkiye açısından öneriler. Sosyal Politika Çalışmaları Dergisi, 25(25), 21-33.
- Pan European Game Information (2015). Annual Report 2015.
- https://www.isfe.eu/sites/isfe.eu/files/attachments/pegi\_annual\_report\_2015.pdf
- Squire, K. (2003), Video games in education. International Journal of Intelligent Games & Simulation, 2(1), 49-62.
- Savci, M., & Aysan, F. (2017). Technological addictions and social connectedness: predictor effect of internet addiction, social media addiction, digital game addiction and smartphone addiction on social connectedness. Dusunen Adam: Journal of Psychiatry & Neurological Sciences, 30(3), 202-216.

- Taş, İ., & Güneş, Z. (2019). 8–12 yaş arası çocuklarda bilgisayar oyun bağımlılığı, aleksitimi, sosyal anksiyete, yaş ve cinsiyetin incelenmesi. Klinik Psikiyatri, 22, 83-92.
- Topal, M., & Aydın, F. (2018). Üniversite öğrencilerinin bilgisayarda oyun oynama alışkanlıkları ve bilgisayar oyun tercihlerinin incelenmesi: Sakarya üniversitesi örneği. ERPA 2018, 203.
- Quaiser-Pohl, C., Geiser, C., & Lehmann, W. (2006). The relationship between computer-game preference, gender, and mental-rotation ability. Personality and Individual differences, 40(3), 609-619.
- Weinstein, A., Dorani, D., Elhadif, R., Bukovza, Y., Yarmulnik, A., & Dannon, P. (2015). Internet addiction is associated with social anxiety in young adults. Annals of Clinical Psychiatry, 27(1), 4-9.
- Yalçın Irmak, A. & Erdoğan, S. (2016). Ergen ve genç erişkinlerde dijital oyun bağımlılığı: Güncel Bir Bakış, Türk Psikiyatri Dergisi: 27, 128-137.
- 2019 Video Game Industry Statistics, Trends & Data (2018). (Erişim tarihi: 8 Mayıs 2020) https://www.wepc.com/news/video-game-statistics